



Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste

Comment Sheet

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2000

Name: Edward McFar Jr.Organization: N/AMailing Address: 722 Kennedy
Doncanville, TexasZip: 75116Telephone number (optional): (972) 709-1860

I request that these comments be made a part of the official record.

Insufficient public process.

- 1 While there have been a number of hearings in Nevada, there will be only **10** hearings outside of Nevada. The sheer scope of the transportation portion of this project should require a public hearing in at least all major cities along the transportation routes.
- 2 The DOE claims it would have been too costly to conduct more hearings. If this is so then why wasn't the hearing process budgeted into the entire project? It is hard to believe that the cost of good public process could even compare to the current expenditures, in the billions, to date.

This nation is supposed to be the most powerful nation in the country. Why is the disparity between the rich and the poor getting bigger? Why is in the city of Las Vegas there are multi-billion dollar buildings down the street from starving, hungry, homeless people? IF this state has the money to support casinos, liquor stores, entertainment for tourists, then the government definitely can put up the money for hearings, and proper disposal of nuclear waste. Where else does tax payer's money go to, or where should it go to besides the benefit of our people? This is supposedly a people's government. I'd like to see that theory proven.

Please note: For your comment(s) to be considered in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, your comment(s) need to be received by the Department of Energy by February 9, 2000. To the extent practicable the Department will consider comments received after February 9.

①